PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

written opinion rapporteren aan cliënt: Indienen gawijzigde et if tou bij EPO:

From the	
INTERNATIONAL	SEARCHING AUTHORITY

see form PCT/ISA/220

To:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000641

International filing date (day/month/year) 16.09.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

24.09.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G01L5/00, G06F15/00

Applicant AB SKF

1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following ite	ems:
----	---	------

Box No. 1

Basis of the opinion

Box No. II

Priority

☐ Box No. III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

☐ Box No. IV

Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Certain documents cited

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

Box No. VI

☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

FURTHER ACTION 2.

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

Mucs, A

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3668



10/573485 !AP9Rec'dPCT/PTO 24 MAR 2006!

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000641

_	Во	x No. I Basis of the opinion
•	. Wit	th regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2	. Witl	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	_	pe of material:
	[a sequence listing
	C	able(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	ormat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. tin	ne of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
3.	C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-13

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-13

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-13

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1: US 2002/057856 A1 (BAILEY ET AL) 16 May 2002 (2002-05-16)

D2: US 5 952 587 A (RHODES ET AL) 14 September 1999 (1999-09-14)

D3: ALGUINDIGUE I E ET AL: "MONITORING AND DIAGNOSIS OF ROLLING

FICHALNEURALNETWORKS" I EEE

R

N N

Ε N N W S 0

E

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/NL2004/000641

P0003873801SSN: 0278

1. Novelty:

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows a method for determining real time load values experienced by a roller bearing. The use of a plurality of strain gauges is described to calculate ratios of applied loads and measured strains from which error equations are calculated using regression

analysis. The equations are used to calculate the real time loads.

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from this known method of D1 in that a deformation of the bearing is calculated from N/2 Fourier terms and fed to a trained bearing neural network which provides the load vector.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

2. Inventive step:

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to determine the complete loading vector in all orthogonal dimensions on a roller bearing with improved accuracy.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

D1 discloses the the use of a plurality of strain sensors and calculating the total load by solving a number of equations. However it does not mention the calculation of N/2 Fourier terms (N being the number of sensors) presenting a radial mode shape of the ring element.

As none of the documents or their combinations directly leads to the invention as described in claim 1 (without knowledge of the application) an inventive step is acknowledged.

Claims 2-6 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Claim 7 discloses a sensor arrangement corresponding to claim 1 and is therefore novel and inventive as well.

Claims 8-13 are dependent on claim 7 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

3. Industrial application

Industrial applicability of the subject-matter of Claims 1-13 (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT) is confirmed.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/NL2004/000641